UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,559	11/21/2000	Boris V. Smolyarov	01752810	1717
26565 MAYER BROV	7590 12/09/200 <b>VN</b> LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2828		MENDEZ, MANUEL A		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@mayerbrown.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/717,559	SMOLYAROV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Manuel A. Mendez	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 20 tune 2001 is/are: of the drawing(s) filed on 20 tune 2001 is	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	by the Everyiner	
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2001 is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brignola (US 4331146).

Brignola shows in figures 14-15, 38-39 and 44-45, a removable cap generally distal to the distal end orifice, the cap further including a cap distal face and a cap proximal face, and an injection prevention component disposed generally proximal to the cap distal face and distal to the distal end orifice.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-27 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landau (US 6264629) in view of Landau, et al. (US 6132395), and in further view of Brignola (US 4331146). The Landau Patent does not disclose a piston at the distal end of the orifice and a latch. However, the use of pistons and

latches at the distal end of an infusion system are conventional in the art evidenced by the teachings Landau, et al. The Landau, et al., Patent demonstrates the conventionality of using an orifice shield (figures 17A and 17b), a piston (figure 1), and a latch (figures 12A and 17b) to prevent the injection of an infusion system. Accordingly, the modification of the Landau infusion system with the above enhancements would have been considered an obvious design choice. In relation to claim 33, the cited steps are considered inherent to the apparatuses of the Landau and Landau, et al., Patents, and accordingly would have been considered obvious.

Page 3

Finally, in response to the amendments to the claims, the Brignola patent discloses a removable cap generally distal to the distal end orifice, the cap further including a cap distal face and a cap proximal face, and an injection prevention component disposed generally proximal to the cap distal face and distal to the distal end orifice. Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the apparatus disclosed by Landau or Landau, et al. with a removable cap and an injection prevention component, as taught by Brignola, would have been considered obvious in view of the proven conventionality of these enhancements and the resulting enhanced efficiency of the injector assembly.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 02/19/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are based primarily on an amendment to the preamble. The examiner notes for the record that a preamble may be only limiting if it "gives life and meaning" to the invention. In the present circumstance, the term

"needle-free" is not defined in the specification. Moreover, the term "multi-use" is also not defined in the specification and is not structurally limiting. Accordingly, the examiner concludes that the amendment to the preamble does not give life and meaning to the pending claims, and therefore, the pending rejections will be maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel A. Mendez whose telephone number is 571-272-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3763

/Manuel A. Mendez/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Manuel A. Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

MM